



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/773,402	01/31/2001	Dan Vassilovski	000213	4234
23696	7590	03/20/2006	EXAMINER	
QUALCOMM, INC 5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			PAN, YUWEN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2682	

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/773,402	Applicant(s) VASSILOVSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner Yuwen Pan	Art Unit 2682	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 February 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/09/06 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 2/09/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that Lim does not teach using any asynchronous connection between a mobile station and a base station. The examiner respectfully disagrees because prior to the fully disclosure of Lim's invention, Lim had already described the asynchronous connection between mobile stations or wired station among different network (see column 1 and lines 22-55), for instance, when an data is transmitted from the mobile station to a stationary device within a wire data communication network, a internetworking unit (figure 1 and item 50) would convert the data packet to modem recognized format. The formatted data would be transferred to PSTN network and a designated device with modem 22 would be able to convert back to data packets and receive the data. Also, the applicant has defined the asynchronous data communication in applicant's background of invention (page 1 line 34 to page 2 line 5).

The core invention of the applicant is to have a system in which would be able to recognize the designated device is within the same wireless communication network and able to

Art Unit: 2682

pass on the information without converting the data. This essential has been disclosed by the Lim reference in which to provide a method for making a mobile to mobile wireless data communication in same wireless communication network system which allows a direct communication without occupying an internetworking unit or data converting.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lim (US006349224B1).

Per claims 1, Lim discloses an apparatus and method for providing fast mobile connectivity during a data communication (see column 3 and lines 7-40), comprising:

Receiving an initial communication from a first wireless communication device operating in a wireless communication system (see figure 5);

Determining if an initial communication from a first wireless communication device operating in a wireless communication system comprises a request to initiate a data communication (See column 4 and lines 30-46);

Determining an identification code associated with a second wireless communication device, said identification code determined from said initial communication (see column 46-49);

Determining if said second wireless communication device is operating within said wireless communication system (see column 4 and lines 50-66); and

Routing said data communication to said second wireless communication device without the use of an IWU that is a bank of modems if said initial communication comprises a request to initiate said data communication and said second wireless communication device is operating within said wireless communication system (see column 4 and lines 66-column 5 and line 14), otherwise routing said asynchronous data communication to said second wireless communication device using a modem (see column 2 and lines 31-41).

It is inherent that the whole process needs a processor and storage device to execute information.

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to independent claims 4, 6 and 8.

Per claim 2, it is inherent that every conventional wireless communication system comprising: a database including HLR and VLR, MSC or BSC. And the function of database is to keep tracking the terminal users within the system either visitor or home and inform either the MSC or the BSC whether to do switch locally or to other parties.

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to dependent claims 3, 5, 7 and 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim (US006349224B1) in view of applicant's admitted prior art (hereinafter APA).

Per claim 1, Lim discloses an apparatus and method for providing fast mobile connectivity during a data communication (see column 3 and lines 7-40), comprising:

Receiving an initial communication from a first wireless communication device operating in a wireless communication system (see figure 5);

Determining if an initial communication from a first wireless communication device operating in a wireless communication system comprises a request to initiate a data communication (See column 4 and lines 30-46);

Determining an identification code associated with a second wireless communication device, said identification code determined from said initial communication (see column 46-49);

Determining if said second wireless communication device is operating within said wireless communication system (see column 4 and lines 50-66); and

Routing said data communication to said second wireless communication device without the use of an IWU that is a bank of modems if said initial communication comprises a request to initiate said data communication and said second wireless communication device is operating within said wireless communication system (see column 4 and lines 66-column 5 and line 14).

Even assuming if Lim doesn't expressly teach that otherwise routing said asynchronous data communication to said second wireless communication device using a modem. APA has already indicated that asynchronous data routes is converted to audible tones by one of the modem in the modem bank and routes to another terminal via the Public Switch Telephone Network (PSTN) (page 1 line 34 to page 2 line 5). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of APA with Lim such that the coverage area of the wireless communication service is extended.

It is inherent that the whole process needs a processor and storage device to execute information.

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to independent claims 4, 6 and 8.

Per claim 2, it is inherent that every conventional wireless communication system comprising: a database including HLR and VLR, MSC or BSC. And the function of database is to keep tracking the terminal users within the system either visitor or home and inform either the MSC or the BSC whether to do switch locally or to other parties.

Same arguments apply, mutatis mutandis, to dependent claims 3, 5, 7 and 9.

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yuwen Pan whose telephone number is 571-272-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 M-F.

Art Unit: 2682

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anderson D. Matthew can be reached on 571-272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Yuwen Pan
March 16, 2006



Matthew Anderson
SPE 2618